Mike posted on a Facebook photo of Jason that “you’re lucky you’re not under the tire”. Although Jason claims this is a veiled threat, it is not much of one. Jason then states that because of that he contacted Michael via Google voice, at which time Michael went off (Jason betrayed Michael’s friendship and destroyed his family, just for context). Jason submitted a video of the call. To me, this appears that Jason intentionally set Michael off. Jason started that with his phone call while Michael had the children. And I do not understand how this video was admissible when Michael did not know it was being recorded. It took place in California:

California has some of the strongest laws in the country on wiretapping. Put simply, **absent consent of all parties, they are not only not admissible into evidence, but a crime to obtain and allow the “injured party” to sue**.

In Washington:

Can I use a recording of my spouse in my Washington divorce? **Generally no, though there are many exceptions**. Washington is a “two-party consent” state, meaning you need the speaker's permission to record a private conversation. The applicable statute – RCW 9.73.