

MAR 03 2021  
Tonja Hutchinson  
SEA  
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

FAH01

Superior Court of Washington, County of KING

In re support of:  
ARYANA J. EASTMAN

No. 18-3-05993-7 SEA

Petitioners:  
SHAWN HICKEY  
EMILY BEDWELL  
and

Final Order and Findings on Petition to  
Modify Child Support Order  
(ORMDD)

Respondents:  
CHRISTINE BEDWELL  
RYAN EASTMAN

Final Order and Findings on Petition to Modify  
Child Support Order

1. Money Judgment Summary

No money judgment is ordered.

2. Court findings based on:

*Order on Motion for Default* signed today against Christine Bedwell, and the court's decision after a hearing on February 26, 2021, at which oral argument was presented through counsel but no one testified.

*Findings & Conclusions*

3. Jurisdiction

The court has authority to modify the current child support order because it was issued by a **Washington** state court.

1 **4. Should the court modify the monthly child support amount?**

2 **Yes.** The monthly child support amount should be changed as written in the new *Child*  
3 *Support Order* because:

4 **1 year or more has passed** – The current order was signed at least one year ago and:

5 **Change of Circumstances** – There has been a substantial change in circumstances  
6 since the current order was signed because Ryan Eastman lost his employment due to  
7 the COVID-19 pandemic.

8 **5. Should the court modify the order to add language allowing for a temporary  
reduction due to incarceration? (Abatement)**

9 Does not apply. No change was requested.

10 **6. Should the court order a temporary reduction due to incarceration? (Abatement)**

11 Does not apply. No change was requested.

12 **7. Should the court change the temporary reduction (abatement) already in effect?**

13 Does not apply. No change was requested.

14 **8. Should the court modify the end date for child support?**

15 Does not apply. No change was requested.

16 **9. Should the court modify post-secondary educational support?**

17 Does not apply. No change was requested.

18 **10. Should the court modify payment for children's expenses or tax issues?**

19 **Important!** *Although the personal tax exemptions are currently suspended under*  
20 *federal law through tax year 2025, other tax benefits may flow from claiming a child as*  
21 *dependent.*

22 **No.** The court should **not** change payment for other expense or tax issues because there  
23 are no valid reasons for change.

24 There is no change of circumstance to warrant a change for other expenses or tax issues.

1 **11. Should the court modify health insurance orders?**

2 Does not apply. No change was requested.

3 **12. Overpayment / underpayment caused by modification**

4 Does not apply.

5 **13. Fees and costs**

6 RYAN EASTMAN should **not** have to pay court costs, lawyer fees, and other reasonable  
7 costs because some claims in Ryan Eastman's *The Petition to Modify Child Support* were  
8 *was warranted and were granted by the court.*

9 The Petitioners should not have to pay court costs, lawyer fees, and other reasonable costs  
10 because some of Ryan Eastman's claims were beyond the authority of the court and  
11 applicable law.

12 **14. Other findings, if any**

13 The court has modified the language addressing uninsured medical expenses according to  
14 section 22 of the new *Child Support Order*.

15 ***Court Orders***

16 **12. Decision**

17 The final *Child Support Order* and *Worksheets* signed by the court today are approved and  
18 filed separately.

19 **13. Money judgment for fees and costs**

20 No money judgment is ordered.

21 **14. Other orders**

22 None.

23 **ORDERED.**

24 DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of February 2021.

03/02/2021

Jason C. Holloway

JUDGE or COMMISSIONER

PRESENTED BY:

APPROVED BY:

GILSON-MOREAU & ASSOCIATES

*Jay Logan*

JAYDE LOGAN, WSBA #51855  
Attorney for Ryan Eastman

*Makenzie Sorich*

Makenzie Sorich, WSBA #37881  
Attorney for Petitioners

*Christine Bedwell*

CHRISTINE BEDWELL  
Respondent